UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

SCOTT T. BALLOCK

Case No.:

1:17-CV-52

Plaintiff,

V.

JURY TRIAL REQUESTED

ELLEN RUTH COSTLOW, STATE TROOPER MICHAEL KIEF, STATE TROOPER RONNIE M. GASKINS, and STATE TROOPER CHRIS BERRY,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO TROOPER DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Excerpts from the deposition of Michael A. Kief:

Pages 69-70, cited at page 8.

Page 100, cited at page 12.

Pages 100-101, cited at page 12.

Page 102, cited at page 12.

Page 108, cited at page 12.

Page 110, cited at page 12.

Pages 104-105, cited at page 13.

Pages 138-139, cited at page 16.

Page 140, cited at page 16.

Pages 147-148, cited at page 13.

Page 107, cited at page 15, footnote 17.

Pages 139-140, cited at page 16.

Pages 52-53, cited at page 17.

Page 55, cited at page 17.

Page 61, cited at page 17.

Pages 50-51, cited at page 17.

Page 43, cited at page 17.

Page 107, cited at page 20.

Page 107, cited at page 21.

Exhibit No. 3

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT

OF WEST VIRGINIA

* * * * * * * *

SCOTT T. BALLOCK,

Plaintiff * Case No.

vs. * 1:17-CV-52

ELLEN RUTH COSTLOW, *

STATE TROOPER MICHAEL *

KIEF, STATE TROOPER *

RONNIE M. GASKINS, *

STATE TROOPER CHRIS *

BERRY, *

Defendants

DEPOSITION OF

MICHAEL KIEF

May 28, 2019

COPY

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

	4695			
	Page 66		Page 68	
1	know, your best, honest recollection and testimony about	1	Q. Let me see if this might job your memory a	
2	all this stuff. And it's not you know, it's not a	2	little.	
3	contest or	3	A. Okay.	
4	A. Sure.	4	Q. And again, we can take the time necessary to	
5	Q anything of that nature.	5	get into some of these documents.	
6	All right.	6	A. Sure.	
7	So Ellen Ruth Costlow comes in to see you the	7	Q. And I am going to show you some documents in	
8	evening of the same day that you called her?	8	the course of this, but you know, you're a	
9	A. I believe it was the same evening.	9	straightforward, attentive witness, and you have an	
10	Q. To the best of your memory?	1.0	impressive memory. So we're covering a lot of ground	
11	A. Yes, sir.	11	without the time necessary for a lot of document work.	
12	Q. Tell me what you remember. What was your	12	So I'm going to take advantage of that.	
13	impression when when she came in to see you?	13	There came a time when my client, Scott	
1.4	A. She she came to the state police barracks.	14	Ballock, called and talked to you and he asked about the	
1.5	She sat down, and she well, I had a conversation	15	fact that state police went to his estranged wife's	
1.6	about her and Trooper Berry, which she denied having an	16	residence. And he questioned you as to why there was no	
17	affair with Trooper Berry.	17	report of that police activity.	
18	She then went on to say she was in the middle	18	A. Yes, sir.	
19	of a divorce or in in the process of divorce and that	19	Q. And you were a bit affronted, I believe	
20	her soon to be ex-husband would not stop contacting her,	20	would be a fair way to put it, by his questioning you	
21	that she was being harassed daily with emails and text	21	along that line.	
22	messages.	22	A. I think that's a mischaracterization.	
23	Q. You say Ellen Ruth Costlow denied having a	23	Q. Okay.	
24	romantic relationship with Christ Berry. Did she deny	24	Well, let me just ask you personally, do you	
		-		
-	Page 67		Page 69	
1	knowing Trooper Berry?	1	remember having a conversation with Scott?	
2	A. No. No, she I don't believe she did deny	2	A. I remember having a conversation with Scott.	
3	knowing Trooper Berry.	3	Q. You do remember that?	
4	Q. Okay.	4	A. Yes.	
5	Tell me what you remember in that direction.	5	Q. Okay.	
6	A. I really don't remember a lot. I remember	6	Good. So tell me about his conversation that	
7	something to the effect that Trooper Berry was up in her	7	you had with Scott Ballock.	
8	neighborhood conducting some sort of investigation. But	8	A. From what I remember about the conversation, he	
9	I don't know the specifics of the I don't remember	9	called in and asked about an incident that happened at	
10	the specifics of the investigation or why he was up in	10	his house. And I believe he wanted a report, if I	
11	that neighborhood doing that.	11	remember correctly.	
12	Q. We do have some documentation on that. In	12	Q. Uh-huh (yes).	
13	fact, I can show you documentation and this is from	13	A. And	
1.4	2013	14	Q. I believe he did.	
15	A. Uh-huh (yes).	15	A. Okay,	
16	Q when the 911 call was placed.	16	Q. Yeah.	
17	A. Okay.	1.7	A. And I explained how to get that report. Well,	
18	Q. And the 911 call resulted in some state police	18	he he asked me if there was a report filed. 1	
19	responding to the Costlow residence. Maybe you reviewed	19	checked. There was not a report filed. So he questioned	
20	some of that evidence in preparation for the deposition	20	me on why there wasn't a report filed.	
21	today? No no bells going off?	21	Q. Okay.	
22	A. No bells going off, sir. I know I know the	2.2	You presumably went on your computer system,	
7.5	state police at least went up there at least once, but	23	called up a database in some fashion or whatever, and	
24	I	24	were able to determine that there was no report on file?	
			the own of the own the	

Pa	age 70	Page 7
A. The CI log did not show a report on file.	1	A. We can. Yes, sir.
2 Q. What log?	2	Q. Okay. All right.
3 A. Criminal Investigation log.	3	So it is accurate to say that his wife lived
4 Q. Okay.	4	
5 So you told Scott Ballock that there was no	5	
6 report prepared?	6	
7 A. Correct.	7	
8 Q. What else do you remember about the	8	Q at the time?
9 conversation?	9	
O A. I believe he asked me why there wasn't a report	10	the house?
filed. And I explained to him, you know, based up	pon the 11	A. I can't remember that, sir. I don't remember
2 investigating officers or the responding officers'	12	that.
observations, and you know, it's up to them to		Q. All right.
4 initiate a report if they feel that it needs to be	14	A. I'd hate to speculate.
5 initiated. But, you know, if they if, you know, a		Q. All right.
trooper goes on a call, and there's no substance to		
7 call, then a report probably isn't going to be filed.	17	Did you make any effort to find out at the time
8 Q. Okay.	18	who the trooper was?
9 What do you remember about the substance of th		A. I can't remember, sir.
call, that is to say — I realize as I listen to my ov		Q. You don't' remember if you tried to find out?
_		A. No, sir. I can't remember that.
The same of the country of the country of the		Q. How was Scott Ballock in his attitude and
t in the state of		A. I remember
police had been to his residence, and his estrang		Q in the course of this conversation?
was currently living there.	24	A. I believe his discourse came from when I told
	ge 71	Page 7
And he wanted a copy of the report. You looked	1	him there wasn't a police report filed. And he
in the Criminal Investigation log on your computer		continually questioned me upon that. And I really didn't
told him there was no report. And he wanted to kno		have another answer for him except for the fact that it
You told him that the trooper who responded must	have 4	was what it was, a verbal altercation that the
judged it unworthy of a report.	5	investigating or the responding officer didn't feel
Is that?	6	like a report needed to be filed.
A. Correct. Yes, sir.	7	Q. Okay.
Q. Okay.	8	A. But he kept pressing upon that issue.
Do you know anything about what happened when	9	Q. Was there any policy or practice in effect at
that who that trooper was, or what he found?	10	the state police at the time of this response to the
A. From what I remember, it was a verbal	11	Ballock residence in Thistledown as to whether a report
altercation at his house or his that residence.	1.2	should be filed if a child, minor child, was present?
Q. All right.	13	A. No, sir.
They were estranged. Do you do you guys in	14	Q. If Summer Ballock, a minor child at the time,
the state police use that word, estranged?	1.5	was present at the Ballock residence when her mother an
A. We can.	16	Kenny Ice, Junior were having an argument, and the stat
Q. When when someone is married to another	17	police came to the house about it, there'd be no
	18	expectation on the part of the state police that the
person, but by order of the family court, usually.	19	trooper should formalize what they did, what they found,
, and the state of	4 **	
they're not living together.	20	what they did, in order to cafeguard the interests of
they're not living together. A. Correct.	20	what they did, in order to safeguard the interests of
they're not living together. A. Correct. Q. Then they are said to be estranged.	21	that minor child?
they're not living together. A. Correct.	1	

	4037
Page	98 Page 100
1 Q. Okay.	Why were you emailing your Captain?
2 A. Yes.	A. Anytime another police officer or public
3 ATTORNEY CROOKS: Let's mark this Number	official or whoever we have to get permission to
4 3.	4 investigate them from Charleston, more or less.
5	5 Q. Why is that?
6 (Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit 3, 8/22/13	6 A. I guess they don't want us opening
7 Email, was marked for identification.	investigations on other police officers or public
8	8 officials without them knowing it.
9 ATTORNEY CROOKS: And I don't know that I	9 Q. Okay.
have extra copies of this, so I'll indulge the time	Have you ever known a time when you sent an
11 necessary for your lawyer and Mr. Phillips both. I'll	email of this kind, and the response was no you have no
let you start if you want, Mark.	authority to open an investigation into this individual?
ATTORNEY PHILLIPS: If you don't mind,	13 A. No, sir.
14 I'll just look over his shoulder.	Q. Can you think of any other time when you ever
15 ATTORNEY CROOKS: Sure.	had to write an email like this and ask for permission to
And let me go ahead and ask the reporter	investigate another police officer?
to mark the next one in sequence that I'm going to as	poster differ.
18	· ·
19 (Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4, Memo	Control of the Cambridge S, which was
from Captain Merrill, was marked for	and Tight.
identification.)	20 A. Yes, sir.
22	Q. Okay.
	ATTORNEY JEFFRIES: Correction. August
The state of the s	23 22nd.
I'll let the three of you fellows look at	24 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, 22nd; yes.
Page 9	Page 101
those documents real quick while I excuse myself for just	BY ATTORNEY CROOKS:
a moment. I'll be right back. Hopefully, both of us	2 Q. Okay.
3 will use our time wisely.	3 A. Yes.
4	
5 (WHEREUPON, A SHORT BREAK WAS TAKEN.)	C = 1111111 J 11 = 1
6	The state of the state of August
COURT REPORTER: Back on the record.	23 to your captain. It looks like there's a second page
8 ATTORNEY JEFFRIES: He's got them.	to that, and I don't have it. Do you have any memory of
ATTORNEY CROOKS: Yeah. 11 got them	8 this document now that we've looked at it as much as we
•	9 have? You remember doing this?
January and a good and a good of the	10 A. I remember sending an email. I
and the state of t	11 Q. Okay.
12 break.	12 A. Yeah. Yeah, Just
BY ATTORNEY CROOKS:	Q. Best of your recollection, this was the first
Q. We've identified Kief Exhibit 3 and Kief	time you'd ever sent an email of this kind to the captain
Exhibit 4. And I believe these documents will more or	asking for permission to open an investigation because it
less prove up the answer you've already given, which is	concerned a high profile person or a member of the law
that you kicked it upstairs, I think you said was the	17 enforcement community.
what you did. You asked your superior for permission to	0 13 True?
open an investigation.	19 A. True.
So let me show you Exhibit Number 3. Can you	20 Q. Okay.
tell us what that is?	21 I believe make a point a point of it to
A. That's an email to my captain, James Merrill,	
at the time.	and the state of the state of the state of the state of
2d Q. Okay.	24 Did you have any conversations with the captain

	4030		
	Page 102		Page 104
1	on the telephone?	1	A. Right. We never came to that.
2	A. Yes, sir.	2	Q. That was never necessary in your case, but
3	Q. Okay,	3	A. No, sir.
F 4	Tell me what you remember.	4	Q I don't surprise you at all to tell you
5	A. I I just called him and explained the	5	that a family court judge has that authority?
6	merits of what was going on with the whole thing. And he	6	A. It wouldn't surprise me, sir.
7	said, well, email put it in writing. Give me	7	Q. Did it occur to you that you might refer her to
8	give me an email about what opening an investigation.	8	the family court judge rather than open a criminal
9	Q. Okay.	9	investigation?
10	Now, the reason you did this email to Captain	10	A. No, sir.
11	Merrill was because Ellen Costlow had come to see you,	11	Q. After all, she had cut Kenny Ice in a domestic
12	and she offered to give you a collection of emails from	12	dispute, and there was no reason to make an arrest or
1.3	her attorney, Matt Stout?	13	open an investigation into into that. And the state
1.4	A. Correct.	14	police had responded to her residence on at least one
15	Q. Did you have those emails, and did you review	15	occasion and didn't even deem it necessary to make a
16	them before you asked for permission from Captain	16	report.
1,7	Merrill?	17	ATTORNEY JEFFRIES: Objection, suspects.
18	A. No. sir.	18	BY ATTORNEY CROOKS:
1.9	Q. Okay.	19	Q. In light of in light of what we know about
20	So you were simply seeking permission to take	20	the broad discretion that the state police has, I mean,
21	advantage of the offer that Ellen Costlow had made to you	21	you were under no obligation to open up an investigation
22	that she would provide this evidence?	2.2	into this complaint that Ellen Costlow was making, were
23	A. Correct.	23	you?
2.4	Q. Okay,	24	A. My obligation is to the victim.
		1	
	Page 103		Page 105
1	And can you tell me if you had any conversation	1	Q. So you felt obliged to Ellen Costlow?
1.2	with Captain Merrill to the effect that there has been	2	A. If there was a criminal infraction, yes.
3	some allegations made that there was a sexual	3	Q. At what point in time did you come to the
4	relationship between the Complainant, Ellen Costlow, and	4	conclusion that there was enough evidence to seek the
-5	one of your troopers, Chris Berry?	5	criminal warrant for the arrest of Scott Ballock?
6	A. I can't remember that, sir.	6	A. It wasn't mine. I had nothing to do with that,
7	Q. Don't you think that's the sort of thing you	7	sir.
8	would be on your mind as you were talking to your	8	Q. Okay.
9	captain?	9	Were you consulted on it?
10	A. Again, I can't remember the the specifics	10	A. I was being updated on the progress of the
11	of the conversation. I don't know if I gave that to him	11	investigation.
12	or not. I don't know.	12	Q. All right.
13	Q. Did your captain ask you, look, why do you	13	According to Exhibit 3, Captain Merrill wanted
14	why do you want to get involved in investigating a case	1.4	to be kept in the loop. He wanted email reports on this.
1.5	that's in front of the family court judge? Why don't you	15	Did you keep him advised?
1.6	just send her to the family court judge?	16	A. That was that is not that email is not
17	A. Because we were investigating a criminal	17	I'm sorry. The memo is not sent to me, sir.
18	complaint, not a civil complaint.	1.8	Q. Pardon me. I — I'm misspeaking it. I
1.9	Q. You understand that the family court judge	19	apologize. I'm just trying to move along her, and I'm
20	would have authority to order restrictions on	20	getting a little ahead of myself.
21	communication between parties who are divorced?	21	A. That's all right.
32	A. Yeah.	22	Q. Let me show you Exhibit Number 4. What is
23	Q. You've been through a divorce yourself.	23	that?
24	Right?	24	A. That is a Troop 1 Memorandum to Corporal

[099	
,	Page 106		Page 108
	Gaskins of the Morgantown Detachment.	1	All right. I appreciate your answering me.
2	Q. Okay.	2	Does that mean he was in charge of it?
3	And who's it from?	3	A. Yes, sir.
4	A. Captain Merrill.	4	Q. Okay.
5	Q. Okay,	5	The reason I'm asking you is Captain Merrill
6	And what was Captain Merrill instructing	6	wanted to be kept advised. He wanted to know what was
7	Corporal then Corporal Gaskins?	7	happing with all of this.
8	A. To open up an investigation.	8	Right?
9	Q. So the fair implication here is that Exhibit 4	9	A. Yes, sir.
10	occurred because it was instigated by Exhibit 3? In	10	Q. And presumably, based on what you told me up to
11	other words, you asked Captain Merrill for authority and	11	this point, Captain Merrill wanted to be kept in the loop
1.2	he granted it. And he personally directed Corporal	12	hecause the person under investigation here was a Federal
13	Gaskins to open the investigation?	13	Bureau of Investigation agent?
14	A. Correct.	14	A. Correct.
1.5	Q. All right.	15	Q. Do you know if Corporal Gaskins, in fact, kept
16	And the reason this echelon of authority at the	16	Captain Merrill advised by email? Were you copied on his
17	state police was directly involved here is because there	17	emails?
1,8	was an FBI agent at issue.	18	A. I don't remember. I don't know that, sir. I
19	True?	19	don't remember being copied on emails.
20	A. Yes, sir.	20	Q. Okay,
2.1.	Q. Did you make any contact with the FBI in the	21	Captain Merrill in Exhibit number, what is it,
22	course of your investigating?	22	4?
23	A. During the course of the whole?	23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. Prior to the time that my client was arrested	24	Q. Did he copy you?
	Page 107		Page 109
Į.	on September 13th, 2013, did you contact the FBI?	1	A. On?
2	A. I I do not know, sir. I cannot remember	2.	Q. Did he copy you on his email to or his memo
3	who contacted the FBI.	3	to Corporal Gaskins?
4	Q. All right.	4	A. I don't remember that, sir.
5	I take it by your answer that you're satisfied	5	Q. Does it say?
6	that there was somebody at the state police who contacted	6	A. No, sir.
	the FBI about Scott Ballock prior to his arrest on	7	Q. Well, I only have the one copy, and I'm not
8	September 13, 2013?	8	looking at it so
9	A. Correct.	9	A. No, sir. It does not.
10	Q. What was the point of that contact?	10	Q. No matter how many times I've been down through
1.1	A. Twofold, to let the agency that he was hired	11	this stack, and I've tried to memorize everything, but I
12	under know that there was a criminal investigation being	12	guess I'm getting too old to be able to do that.
13	conducted, and two, professional courtesy.	13	So you have a memory as to whether you were
14	Q. Did you direct someone to make that contact?	1.4	kept advised of the investigation into Ellen Costlow's
15	A. I do not remember that, sir.	15	complaint against Scott Ballock prior to Scott's arrest
16	Q. Would corporal Gaskins have had the authority	16	on September 13, 2013.
17	to contact the FBI for the two purposes that you just	17	A, I didn't say that, sir.
18	identified?	18	Q. I'm asking.
19	A. Yes, sir.	19	A. Yes, sir. But you made a statement, and I'm
20	Q. Okay,	20	that's not correct.
2.1	Who was in charge of the investigation,	21	Q. Sounded like a statement, but it was intended
22	Corporal Gaskins?	2.2	to be a question. Let me put it a little more clearly
23	A. He was the investigating officer, sir.	23	for you. Were you kept advised of the investigation into
24	Q. Okay.	24	Ellen Costlow's criminal complaints against Scott Ballock
~ T	Q. Only.	24	Ellen Costlow's criminal complaints against Scott Ballock

	4700			
	Page 110		Page 112	
1	prior to the day of his arrest?	1	A. Because before we arrested any police officer	
2	A. Yes, sir.	2	or any official, we would've told that to our superiors.	
3	Q. How were you kept advised?	3	Q. Do you know if Captain Merrill provided express	
4	A. I was Corporal Gaskins' supervisor. So he	4	authority to proceed?	
5	would, you know, periodically talk to me about what's	5	A. I do not know that, sir.	
6	going with the cases, his investigation.	6	Q. Do you know if Captain Merrill ever had any	
7	Q. Okay.	7	contact with the FBI?	
8	What sort of things would be tell you?	8	A. I do not know that, sir.	
9	A. Just an update on the investigation, what was	9	Q. Now, there was an FBI agent present at the	
10	going on, what he found.	10	family court proceeding on September 13, 2013 when my	
11	Q. Okay.	1.3	client Scott Ballock was arrested.	
12	Based on those periodic briefings, what did you	12	Are you aware of that?	
13	understand to be the course of the investigation? How	13	-	
14	did it go down? How did how was it done? Was it	14	A. Repeat the question, sir.	
15	done in compliance of the protocols laid out in Kief	1	Q. Sure. The day my client was arrested	
16	Exhibit Number 1?	15	A. Yes, sir.	
1.7	A. I I do not know that, sir.	16	Q in family court on the count, there was a	
1.8		17	representative from the FBI present?	
19	Q. Would it have been your responsibility to see	18	A. Correct. Yes, sir.	
20	to it that Corporal Gaskins complied with the	19	Q. You know that?	
21	requirements laid out in Kief Exhibit Number 1?	30	A. Yes, sir.	
22	A. Explain that.	21	Q. And in fact, one of the reasons you know that	
2.3	Q. You're his supervisor?	32	is because the state police advised the FBI that we're	
	A. I am his was his supervisor, yes, sir.	23	going to execute an arrest warrant for one of your agents	
24	Q. He was doing an investigation under your	24	at the family court proceeding?	
	Page 111		Page 113	
_ L	supervision.	1	A. Correct.	
. 2	A. Yes.	2		
3	Q. And the captain was being kept in the loop on	3	Q. Were you the one who notified the FBI of that	
4	how the investigation proceeded?	4	fact for the representative to be there?	
5	A. Correct.	5	A. I do not remember that, sir.	
6	Q. Did you, in fact, make a point to see to it	6	Q. And who actually the arrest warrant?	
7	that the policies and procedures laid out in Kief Exhibit	7	A. I was there. There was another trooper there	
8	Number 1 were followed in the investigation of Ruth Ellen	8	when we went upstairs to the magistrate's office to get	
9	Ellen Ruth Costlow's criminal complaint against Scott	1	to have Mr. Ballock arraigned. I don't remember	
10	Ballock?	9	whose signature is on the arrest warrant.	
11	A. It's my opinion that Corporal Gaskins was	10	Q. I think it was yours.	
12	following all criminal the procedures, yes, sir.	11	A. Okay,	
13		12	I I can't remember that, sir.	
14	Q. Do you know if Captain Merrill was told that	13	Q. I can show it to you here if we need to.	
15	the decision had been made to seek an arrest warrant for	1.4	So did you interview Scott Ballock before you	
16	Scott Ballock?	15	arrested him?	
	A. That's something	16	A. No, sir.	
17	Q. Before he was let me let me restate the	17	Q. Did you reach out to make contact with Scott	
18	question. It's been two years. I can almost read the	18	Ballock prior to making the decision to arrest him to let	
19	transcript. Let me articulate the question.	19	him know that you were investigating his communications	
20	Do you know if Captain Merrill was told	20	with his wife?	
21	beforehand that the decision had been made to obtain an	21	A. I didn't make that decision, sir.	
22	arrest warrant for Scott Ballock?	22	Q. Who did?	
23	A. That would've been done, sir.	23	A. That'd be the investigating officer and the	
24	Q. Why?	24	prosecuting attorney.	

Page 102		Page 104
	1	A. Right. We never came to that.
<u>-</u>		
		Q. That was never necessary in your case, but A. No, sir.
	-1	
-		Q I don't surprise you at all to tell you
	1	that a family court judge has that authority?
		A. It wouldn't surprise me, sir.
		Q. Did it occur to you that you might refer her to
	1	the family court judge rather than open a criminal
	1	investigation?
	1	A. No, sir.
	1	Q. After all, she had cut Kenny Ice in a domestic
	1	dispute, and there was no reason to make an arrest or
	1	open an investigation into into that. And the state
	1	police had responded to her residence on at least one
		occasion and didn't even deem it necessary to make a
	1	report.
- 1 - 111	1	ATTORNEY JEFFRIES: Objection, suspects.
		BY ATTORNEY CROOKS:
- •		Q. In light of in light of what we know about
	6	the broad discretion that the state police has, I mean,
		you were under no obligation to open up an investigation
	1	into this complaint that Ellen Costlow was making, were
	1	you?
Q. Omj.	24	A. My obligation is to the victim.
Page 103		Page 105
And can you tell me if you had any conversation	1	Q. So you felt obliged to Ellen Costlow?
	2	A. If there was a criminal infraction, yes.
	3	Q. At what point in time did you come to the
	4	conclusion that there was enough evidence to seek the
	5	criminal warrant for the arrest of Scott Ballock?
	6	A. It wasn't mine. I had nothing to do with that,
	7	sir.
would be on your mind as you were talking to your	8	Q. Okay.
captain?	9	Were you consulted on it?
	10	A. I was being updated on the progress of the
of the conversation. I don't know if I gave that to him	11	investigation.
or not. I don't know.	12	Q. All right.
Q. Did your captain ask you, look, why do you	13	According to Exhibit 3, Captain Merrill wanted
why do you want to get involved in investigating a case	1.4	to be kept in the loop. He wanted email reports on this.
that's in front of the family court judge? Why don't you	15	Did you keep him advised?
just send her to the family court judge?	16	A. That was that is not that email is not
A. Because we were investigating a criminal	17	I'm sorry. The memo is not sent to me, sir.
complaint, not a civil complaint.	18	Q. Pardon me. I — I'm misspeaking it. I
Q. You understand that the family court judge	19	apologize. I'm just trying to move along her, and I'm
would have authority to order restrictions on	20	getting a little ahead of myself.
communication between parties who are divorced?	21	A. That's all right.
A. Yeah.	22	
7.0 1 040.		U. Let me snow voll Exhibit Number 4. What is
Q. You've been through a divorce yourself.	23	Q. Let me show you Exhibit Number 4. What is that?
	And can you tell me if you had any conversation with Captain Merrill to the effect that there has been some allegations made that there was a sexual relationship between the Complainant, Ellen Costlow, and one of your troopers, Chris Berry? A. I can't remember that, sir. Q. Don't you think that's the sort of thing you would be on your mind as you were talking to your captain? A. Again, I can't remember the the specifics of the conversation. I don't know if I gave that to him or not. I don't know. Q. Did your captain ask you, look, why do you why do you want to get involved in investigating a case that's in front of the family court judge? Why don't you just send her to the family court judge? A. Because we were investigating a criminal complaint, not a civil complaint. Q. You understand that the family court judge would have authority to order restrictions on	on the telephone? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. Tell me what you remember. A. I I just called him and explained the merits of what was going on with the whole thing. And he said, well, email put it in writing. Give me give me an email about what opening an investigation. Q. Okay. Now, the reason you did this email to Captain Merrill was because Ellen Costlow had come to see you, and she offered to give you a collection of emails from her attorney, Matt Stout? A. Correct. Q. Did you have those emails, and did you review them before you asked for permission from Captain Merrill? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. So you were simply seeking permission to take advantage of the offer that Ellen Costlow had made to you that she would provide this evidence? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Page 103 And can you tell me if you had any conversation with Captain Merrill to the effect that there has been some allegations made that there was a sexual relationship between the Complainant, Ellen Costlow, and one of your troopers, Chris Berry? A. I can't remember that, sir. Q. Don't you think that's the sort of thing you would be on your mind as you were talking to your captain? A. Again, I can't remember the the specifics of the conversation. I don't know if I gave that to him or not. I don't know. Q. Did your captain ask you, look, why do you why do you want to get involved in investigating a case that's in front of the family court judge? Why don't you just send her to the family court judge? Why don't you just send her to the family court judge? A. Because we were investigating a criminal complaint, not a civil complaint. Q. You understand that the family court judge would have authority to order restrictions on

	4	702	
	Page 138		Page 140
1	produced to us in discovery and marked as Kief Exhibit	1	the prosecutor's office, and they turned him down, then
2	Number 1 in todays' deposition.	2	they would have been operating in contradiction to this
3	A. Okay.	3	directive in state police policy and procedure?
4	Q. Am I right?	4	A. Yes, sir.
5	A. Yes, sir.	5	Q. Sub-paragraph (f) says that proper conduct of a
6	Q. All right.	6	criminal investigation also includes, but is not
7	Take a look at page 853 of Deposition Exhibit	7	necessarily limited to, locating and questioning those
8	Number 1 for a second, would you?	8	persons suspected of having committed the crime. I mean,
9	A. I'm sorry. Got one.	9	that's that's saying explicitly that Scott Ballock
10	Q. I'm looking at 3.02. Proper conduct of a	10	should've been interviewed if the opportunity presented
11	criminal investigation includes, but is not necessarily	11	itself, isn't it?
12	limited to.	12	A. Yes, sir.
13	ATTORNEY JEFFRIES: Charles, I believe	13	Q. And so if the state police and the person,
14	you're on page 851.	14	Corporal Gaskins, whether acting of his own accord or
15	ATTORNEY CROOKS: Am I?	15	under the direction of Cindy Scott, turned Scott down
1.6	All right.	16	when he volunteered to come in and give a statement, that
17	BY ATTORNEY CROOKS:	17	wouldn't be fair treatment to Scott, would it?
18	Q. I apologize. Didn't mean to mislead you.	18	A. I don't know if I would characterize it as
19	Thank you for the	19	that, sir. These are guidelines that we go by, not I
20	A. All right sir.	20	mean, it's policy and procedure. But the we have a
21	Q. Thank you for bracing me on that. Let me take	21	lot of leeway about how we conduct a criminal
22	a fresh line of questioning. We've looking at page 851	22	investigation. It's certainly not something that they
2.3	of Kief Exhibit Number 1. I'm looking specifically at	23	would be written up or anything like that.
24	3.02. Proper conduct of a criminal investigation	24	Q. A lot of the communications that were at issue
		ļ	
1	Page 139		Page 141
1	includes, but is not necessarily limited to are you	L	in the criminal charge for which Scott was arrested,
2 3	with me so far?	2	which was later withdrawn by the prosecuting attorney's
4	A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh (yes).	3	office, had to do with interactions that Ellen and Kenny
71 C	Q. I'm going to skip past some stuff that doesn't	4	Ice, Junior were having. You've looked at those emails
6	pertain, and focus on parts that I believe do for	5	enough to to know that I'm right about that?
7	purposes of my question. A. Uh-huh (yes).	6	Correct?
8		7	Kenny Ice comes up a lot.
	Q. Sub-part (c) says locating and interviewing all	8	A. I'm thinking that's a yes. Kenny Ice comes
9	persons who have or who are thought to have any	9	up a lot, yes, sir.
10	information concerning the crime under investigation?	10	Q. And so he would've been somebody who had
11	A. Yes, sir.	11	information concerning the crime under investigation.
12	Q. Well, all persons who have information would	12	True?
13	certainly include the person who's accused of sending the	13	A. He would have knowledge of it, yes, sir.
14	harassing emails and texts	14	Q. Do you know if Kenny Ice was contacted and
1.5	A. Yes. sir.	15	interviewed by Corporal Gaskins as part of the?
16	Q wouldn't it?	16	A. I do not know that, sir. I don't believe he
17	A. Uh-huh (yes).	1.7	was.
18	Q. So that means to say this policy and procedure	1,8	Q. In fact, a lot of the claims hat Ellen Costlow
19	called for interviewing Scott Ballock.	19	made against Scott and many of the subjects addressed by
	A. Okay.	20	Scott Ballock in his texts and emails to Ellen could've
	Q. True?	21	been either corroborated or refuted by Kenny Ice if
	A. As it as it is written, yes, sir.	22	if all the mentions of Kenny Ice are to be taken as
2.3	Q. So if Scott Ballock was offering to come in and	23	credible in those emails.
24	and sit for an interview by the state police and/or		

4703		
Page 1	46 Page 148	
Q I don't want to yeah. I don't want to misrepresent what A. Yeah. There's two different things there. Q. Yeah. Your just another case of your careful attention to my questions. Thank you. You interviewed Ellen Costlow at the detacliment	witness would help in keeping Captain Merrill advised? A. I don't know if I've interviewed a complaining victim, sir. I don't know if I've ever done that before. I've done suspects, but not victims. Q. If Scott Ballock had been interviewed as he offered, and he waived his Fifth Amendment privilege.	
7 in Morgantown the night before you executed the warran 8 and arrested Scott? 9 A. I interviewed her, sir? 10 Q. Yeah. 11 A. Okay.	answered questions, he could have potentially put the rope around his own neck and admitted to criminal activity. True? A. He could have, yes, sir.	
12 I don't remember that. Q. You don't remember doing that? A. No, sir. Q. You don't remember having a discussion with her saying look, you know, we got these warrants. I'm going to execute them tomorrow. What time is your hearing? What time do I need to be there? How did you know? A. I don't believe Ms. Costlow knew we were going	Q. Wouldn't that have been a good reason to interview him? Doesn't that argue that he would be a credible witness worthy of interviewing in the course of the investigation? ATTORNEY JEFFRIES: Objection. Scott is not with us. BY ATTORNEY CROOKS:	
to serve those warrants on him that day. It's my recollection we did not tell her. Q. You did not tell her? A. Correct. Q. Okay.	Q. If the man is willing to risk his career, and and go on to jail to answer questions, doesn't that argue in favor of bringing him and interviewing him? A. Corporal Gaskins did not feel like he needed to interview Mr. Ballock for a reason. I do not know that reason.	
Page 14 A. We knew that there was a hearing the next day. Q. How did you know that?	1 Q. Did you ever indicate that you had tried to	
A. I can't remember how we found out the conversation of how we knew that there was going to be a court hearing the next day. Q. Did you assign Corporal Gaskins, or was that Captain Merrill?	tape record an interview with Ellen Costlow, but that the recording machine malfunctioned? A. I remember that on a website someplace. I don't recall what that circumstance was. But I remember reading that on one of his websites. But I don't recall the circumstances.	
A. We discussed having Corporal Gaskins do the investigation. Q. Did you ever interview Ellen Costlow without Corporal Gaskins being present? A. The first time I met Ms. Costlow, the night	Q. You received quite a few emails directly from Ellen Costlow during the course of this investigation. True? A. True. Q. And that was even after Corporal Gaskins had	
the first night of her asking about the affair. I don't remember having another interview with her. I can't I can't recall, sir. I do not know. Q. Did you ever make any recordings of interviews that you conducted with Ellen Costlow?	been appointed as the investigating officer? A. True. D. Did you ever tell Ellen Costlow, look, I'm not the chief investigating officer on this? You should be communicating with Corporal Gaskins?	
A. I don't remember any, sir, no. Q. Have you ever done that sort of thing in the course of criminal investigations? A. Sure. Q. How about criminal investigations where another	18 A. No, sir. 19 Q. Why not? 20 A. Couple different things. 21 Q. Why would you have back channel communication with the complainant when somebody else is investigating?	
member of law enforcement is at issue? Don't you think making a recording of interviews with the complaining	A. They're really Ms. Costlow was her emails are, basically, somebody that wants somebody to	

		704	
1	Page 106		Page 108
1	Gaskins of the Morgantown Detachment. Q. Okay.	1	All right. I appreciate your answering me.
	And who's it from?	2	Does that mean he was in charge of it?
	A. Captain Merrill,	3	A. Yes, sir.
	Q. Okay.	4	Q. Okay.
	-	5	The reason I'm asking you is Captain Merrill
7	And what was Captain Merrill instructing	6	wanted to be kept advised. He wanted to know what was
	Corporal then Corporal Gaskins? A. To open up an investigation.	7	happing with all of this.
		8	Right?
-10	Q. So the fair implication here is that Exhibit 4	9	A. Yes, sir.
	occurred because it was instigated by Exhibit 3? In	10	Q. And presumably, based on what you told me up to
11	other words, you asked Captain Merrill for authority and	11	this point, Captain Merrill wanted to be kept in the loop
12	he granted it. And he personally directed Corporal	12	because the person under investigation here was a Federal
	Gaskins to open the investigation?	13	Bureau of Investigation agent?
	A. Correct.	14	A. Correct,
	Q. All right.	15	Q. Do you know if Corporal Gaskins, in fact, kept
	And the reason this echelon of authority at the	16	Captain Merrill advised by email? Were you copied on his
	state police was directly involved here is because there	17	emails?
	was an FBI agent at issue.	18	A. I don't remember. I don't know that, sir. I
	frue?	19	don't remember being copied on emails.
20 🗚	A. Yes, sir.	20	Q. Okay.
51 (2. Did you make any contact with the FBI in the	21	Captain Merrill in Exhibit number, what is it,
	course of your investigating?	22	4?
23 A	A. During the course of the whole?	23	A. Yes, sir.
24 Q	2. Prior to the time that my client was arrested	24	Q. Did he copy you?
1	Page 107 on September 13th, 2013, did you contact the FBI?	1	Page 109 A.On?
	I I do not know, sir. I cannot remember	2.	Q. Did he copy you on his email to or his memo
	who contacted the FBI.	3	to Corporal Gaskins?
4 Q	. All right.	4	A. I don't remember that, sir.
	take it by your answer that you're satisfied	5	Q. Does it say?
	that there was somebody at the state police who contacted	6	A. No, sir.
	the FBI about Scott Ballock prior to his arrest on	7	
	September 13, 2013?	8	Q. Well, I only have the one copy, and I'm not looking at it so -—.
	. Correct.	9	A. No, sir. It does not.
10 Q .	. What was the point of that contact?	10	
	. Twofold, to let the agency that he was hired	11	Q. No matter how many times I've been down through
	ander know that there was a criminal investigation being	12	this stack, and I've tried to memorize everything, but I
	conducted, and two, professional courtesy.	13	guess I'm getting too old to be able to do that.
	Did you direct someone to make that contact?	14	So you have a memory as to whether you were
	I do not remember that, sir.	15	kept advised of the investigation into Ellen Costlow's
	Would corporal Gaskins have had the authority		complaint against Scott Ballock prior to Scott's arrest
	o contact the FBI for the two purposes that you just	16	on September 13, 2013.
	dentified?	17	A. I didn't say that, sir.
	Yes, sir.	18	Q. I'm asking.
	Okay.	19	A. Yes, sir. But you made a statement, and I'm
•	-	20	that's not correct.
	ho was in charge of the investigation,	21	Q. Sounded like a statement, but it was intended
	forporal Gaskins?	22	to be a question. Let me put it a little more clearly
	He was the investigating officer, sir.	23	for you. Were you kept advised of the investigation into
24 Q.	Okay.	24	Ellen Costlow's criminal complaints against Scott Ballock

	4	705	
	Page 138		Page 140
1,	produced to us in discovery and marked as Kief Exhibit	1	the prosecutor's office, and they turned him down, then
2	Number 1 in todays' deposition.	2	they would have been operating in contradiction to this
3	A. Okay.	3	directive in state police policy and procedure?
	Q. Am I right?	4	A. Yes, sir.
5	A. Yes, sir.	5	Q. Sub-paragraph (f) says that proper conduct of a
6	Q. All right.	6	criminal investigation also includes, but is not
7	Take a look at page 853 of Deposition Exhibit	7	necessarily limited to, locating and questioning those
8	Number 1 for a second, would you?	8	persons suspected of having committed the crime. I mean,
9	A. I'm sorry. Got one.	9	that's that's saying explicitly that Scott Ballock
10	Q. I'm looking at 3.02. Proper conduct of a	10	should've been interviewed if the opportunity presented
1.1	criminal investigation includes, but is not necessarily	11	itself, isn't it?
12	limited to.	12	A. Yes, sir.
13	ATTORNEY JEFFRJES: Charles, { believe	1.3	Q. And so if the state police and the person,
14	you're on page 851.	14	Corporal Gaskins, whether acting of his own accord or
15	ATTORNEY CROOKS: Am I?	1.5	under the direction of Cindy Scott, turned Scott down
	All right.	16	when he volunteered to come in and give a statement, that
17	BY ATTORNEY CROOKS:	17	wouldn't be fair treatment to Scott, would it?
18	Q. I apologize. Didn't mean to mislead you.	18	A. I don't know if I would characterize it as
19	Thank you for the	19	
20	A. All right sir.	20	that, sir. These are guidelines that we go by, not I
	Q. Thank you for bracing me on that. Let me take	21	mean, it's policy and procedure. But the we have a
22	a fresh line of questioning. We've looking at page 851	22	lot of leeway about how we conduct a criminal
23	of Kief Exhibit Number 1. I'm looking specifically at	23	investigation. It's certainly not something that they
24	3.02. Proper conduct of a criminal investigation	24	would be written up or anything like that.
		23	Q. A lot of the communications that were at issue
	Page 139		Page 141
1	includes, but is not necessarily limited to are you	T	in the criminal charge for which Scott was arrested,
	with me so far?	2	which was later withdrawn by the prosecuting attorney's
	A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh (yes).	3	office, had to do with interactions that Ellen and Kenny
	2. I'm going to skip past some stuff that doesn't	4	Ice, Junior were having. You've looked at those emails
	pertain, and focus on parts that I believe do for	5	cnough to to know that I'm right about that?
6	purposes of my question.	6	Correct?
7. A	A. Uh-huh (yes).	7	Kenny Ice comes up a lot.
8 C). Sub-part (c) says locating and interviewing all	8	A. I'm thinking that's a yes. Kenny Ice comes
	persons who have or who are thought to have any	9	up a lot, yes, sir.
10	information concerning the crime under investigation?	10	Q. And so he would've been somebody who had
	. Yes, sir.	1.1	information concerning the crime under investigation.
12 Q	. Well, all persons who have information would	12	True?
	certainly include the person who's accused of sending the	13	A. He would have knowledge of it, yes, sir.
	harassing emails and texts	14	Q. Do you know if Kenny Ice was contacted and
	. Yes, sir.	1.5	
	wouldn't it?	16	interviewed by Corporal Gaskins as part of the? A. I do not know that, sir. I don't believe he
	. Uh-huh (yes).	17	was.
	. So that means to say this policy and procedure	1.8	
	called for interviewing Scott Ballock.	19	Q. In fact, a lot of the claims hat Ellen Costlow
	. Okay.	20	made against Scott and many of the subjects addressed by
	. True?	21	Scott Ballock in his texts and emails to Ellen could've
	As it as it is written, yes, sir.		been either corroborated or refuted by Kenny Ice if
	So if Scott Ballock was offering to come in and	22	if all the mentions of Kenny Ice are to be taken as
	and sit for an interview by the state police and/or	23	credible in those emails.
	and ore for an interview by the state ponce and/or	24	True?

	4706				
1	Page 50		Page 52		
1	aware of that?	1	A. No, sir, he did not.		
2	A. I I'm sorry. I'm misconstruing your	2			
3	question. She did not initiate	3	Q. Is that the end of your contact with Tom, Senior?		
4	Q. I may have confused you without intending to.	4	A. Yes, sir. I believe so.		
5	You worked in the Morgantown Detachment	5	Q. When was that?		
6	A. Yes, sir.	6			
7	Q of the state police between 2012 and 2015?	7	A. That was I do not know that, sir, not		
8	A. Yes, sir.	8	without looking at it was the first time that I knew		
9	Q. You were a sergeant?	9	of Mr. Ballock and knew of the situation.		
10	A. Yes, sir.	10	Q. Okay.		
1.1	Q. And my question has to do with some of the	11	So Ellen Ruth Costlow A. Yes, sir.		
12	merits that we're litigating in this civil action.	12			
13	A. Sure. Yes, sir.	13	Q comes in the picture next.		
14	Q. Okay.	14	A. Trooper Berry did first, yes.		
15	I'm asking you do you remember, generally, that	15	Q. All right.		
16	Ellen Ruth Costlow initiated at least one criminal	16	Trooper Berry. Go ahead. Tell me what you remember.		
1/7	complaint against my client, Scott Ballock, during that	17			
18	time.	18	A. I called Trooper Berry, and told him about the		
19	A. That was not her intent when she came into the	19	allegation. He denied it. I asked him to come in and		
20	state police detachment.	20	speak to me. He did. He he came in. He did I		
21	Q. Okay.	21	looked at his cell phone. He let me see his cell phone,		
22	What was her intent, as far as you were able to	22	and there wasn't anything on there that that gave me		
23	discern?	23	concern or no no proving or disproving of a of a		
24	A. A complaint against Trooper Berry.	24	relationship.		
			Q. Were there any communications on Trooper		
	Page 51		Page 53		
I	Q. Against Trooper Berry?	1	Berry's cell phone?		
2	A. Yes, sir.	2:	A. I don't remember that. I'm sorry. Go ahead.		
3	Q. All right.	3	Q. You're cooperating with me, and I appreciate		
4	Tell me about that. When was it, first of all?	4	it, but we're we're kind of getting comfortable with		
5	A. It was my first contact with Tom Ballock,	5	each other so you're kind of anticipating my question and		
7	Senior. He had called in to the state police barracks to	-6	overrunning it a little bit. That's not disrespectful.		
8	let us know, or let me know he asked for a supervisor	7	It's just how it works with humans. So let me, without		
9	to let me know that Chris Berry was having an affair	8	being rude to you, suggest that you need to let me finish		
10	with his daughter-in-law or soon to be daughter-in-law.	9	the question.		
11	That conversation was short. There was a	1.0	A. Absolutely.		
12	couple of questions that I had that he answered.	11	Q. Okay.		
13	Q. What were those?	12	That way the transcript will will read a		
14	A. I asked him what his relationship was to Ms.	1.3	lot better.		
15	Costlow. He said he was the father-in-law. I asked him	14	A. Got you.		
16	why he was making the complaint instead of her husband.	15	Q. You examined Christopher Berry's cell phone as		
17	I don't remember how he responded to that, if he did. 1	1.5	part of your inquiry into, this informal complaint we'll		
18	asked him if he wanted to make a formal complaint, and he	17	call it,		
	declined to do so.	18	A. Right.		
19	Q. So you offered to facilitate the filing of a	1.9	Q that Tom Ballock, Senior had phoned in to		
21	formal complaint? A. Yes.	20	you?		
22		2.1	A. Correct,		
23	Q. And your recollection is that Tom, Senior? A. He said he didn't want to do that.	22	Q. All right.		
24	Q. Did he explain why?	23.	In those days, there in Morgantown Detachment,		
	C. O. G. D. Sapinin Way.	24	were troopers using an official West Virginia State		

	Page 54		Page 5
1	Police phone, and then maintaining a a separate	1	Q. Did you look at Christopher Berry's email
2	personal phone?	2	account?
3	A. No, sir.	3	A. No, sir. I did not.
4	Q. Okay. All right.	4	Q. Okay.
5	So if a state police trooper like Christopher	5	A. He was letting me look at his cell phone, his
6	Berry had to conduct state police business on the	5	personal cell phone so
7	telephone as opposed to over the radio, he would just use	17	Q. Well, you had legitimate reason to do so.
8	his own personal phone?	8	Right?
9	A. Yes, sir.	9	A. Right.
10	Q. Okay.	1,0	Q. All right.
11	Likewise, if Trooper Berry wanted to use his	11	You were the sergeant for the detachment?
12	cell phone for his own personal, private own business,	12	A. One of the sergeants, yes, sir.
L3	it'd be that same phone?	1.3	Q. Okay.
4	A. True. Yes, sir.	14	I take it was within your scope of
. 5	Q. Okay.	15	responsibilities as a sergeant in the detachment to mal
.6	So you weren't you weren't trying to, you	16	the inquiry of Christopher Berry that you made?
7	know, examine some official phone versus	17	A. Yes, sir.
8	A. No, sir.	18	Q. Okay.
9 (Q his personal phone?	1.9	Did you consider this to be an investigation
	A. No, sir.	20	
1	Q. All right.	21	that would be reported to the? A. Professional Standards Unit?
	That's all. That's the only point I'm trying	22	
3	to make clear here. Sources of evidence, you know.	23	Q. Professional Standards Unit, yeah.
4	So you looked at his phone. Did you find that	24	A. Depending on what I found.
			Q. Explain that answer to me with a little more
2	Page 55		Page 5
1	Christopher Berry had communicated directly with Ellen	1	detail.
2	Ruth Costlow using his cell phone?	2	A. If he was conducting a relationship or or
	A. I don't remember that, sir. I do not remember	3	activities on duty that that weren't if he was
	if there was any communications between him and her on	4	doing something extracurricular on duty, then that would
5 1	there. I remember that there wasn't anything there	5	be a problem.
,	wasn't anything proving an allegation on his cell phone.	6	Q. Okay.
']	I don't remember specific conversation between him and	7	Was Chris Berry married at that time?
1	her on the cell phone. It's been so many years. I [8	A. I do not know his married status.
	don't remember that point.	9	Q. Okay.
	. When you say you examined his phone, what did	10	
,	you look at, specifically as you can tell me?	11	I think we've already established that there's
,	. Text messages.		nothing, per se, inappropriate about state police
	. So you looked at text messages?	12	officers, troopers, having personal relationships with
	. Yes.	13	civilians?
	. Did you look at the call history?	1.1	A. Correct,
	Yes, sir.	15	Q. That in and of itself is perfectly fine?
	. All right.	16	A. Yes, sir.
	nything else?	1.7	Q. Likewise, if Chris Berry was having a
		1.8	relationship with Ellen Ruth Costlow at that time,
	No, sir. No, sir.	19	whenever it was that Tom Ballock called and
	These cell phones, you can do all kinds of	20	complained,
	hings?	21	A. Yes, sir.
	Yes. Yes. Yes.	22	Q that was not necessarily a problem to your
	I use mine for email.	23	way of thinking unless it was taking up company time?
Λ	Yes.	24	A. Correct,

	4	708
	Page 58	Page 60
1	Q. After looking Trooper Berry's cell phone, you	1 Berry?
2	were satisfied that there was there was nothing that	2 A. Yes, sir.
3	you could see in the text app or the call history to	3 Q. What did you do?
4	suggest that he was, in fact, having a relationship with	4 A. Called Ms. Costlow.
5	Ellen Ruth Costlow. 1s that	5 Q. All right,
6	A. Correct.	6 How'd you how'd you know how to get in
7	Q to the best of your memory?	touch with her? Did Tom Ballock give you that
8	A. Yes, sir.	8 information, or?
9	Q. But you're not able to recall whether there	9 A. I don't remember how I found that out. No,
10	might've been evidence of direct communication between	sir, I don't remember that,
11	Chris Berry and Ellen Ruth Costlow on his cell phone?	Q. Didn't get it off Trooper Berry's phone, did
1.2	A. Correct.	12 you?
13	Q. There may be very there may very well have	A. I doubt that, sir. I don't remember how I got
14	been communication between Chris Berry and Ellen Ruth	her her phone information.
15	Costlow on Chris Berry's cell phone?	15 Q. Okay.
16	A. Yes, sir. That point, I cannot remember.	
17	Q. Okay,	, y
18	ATTORNEY JEFFRIES: Are we at a breaking	50 and Ellen Costlow, 50
19	point, Charles? Break wanted.	process you are got to nominis priorie.
20	What time is it?	5,
21	COURT REPORTER: 2:10, and we can go off	
22	the record.	and Jon go to see her.
23	***	
24	(WHEREUPON, A SHORT BREAK WAS TAKEN.)	Production So down.
		24 A. I I called her on the phone, had a
	Page 59	Page 61
1		conversation with her on the phone.
2	ATTORNEY CROOKS: We can go back on the	2 Q. Okay.
3	record.	3 Walk me through what you remember about that.
4	BY ATTORNEY CROOKS:	4 A. I told her why I was calling. She she got
5	Q. Okay.	5 upset and denied any affair with Trooper Berry. And she
6	Just before we took our short break, we were	6 made the comment a comment about and I don't know
7	talking about a timeframe that we'll probably be able to	exactly word for word, but she made the comment she was
8	pin down more precisely by reference to the voluminous	8 being harassed.
9	document productions that have been made.	9 Q. By whom?
10	But doing the best we can from memory, it	A. I don't believe she even said that, sir. I
11	sounds like you you heard from Tom Ballock, Senior by	don't remember the whole gist of the conversation. Being
12	his way of calling asking for the person in charge at	12 wronged, though.
13	the barracks. Got you. He complained about Chris Berry.	13 She asked if she could come into the state
] 4	And then you got in touch with Trooper Berry,	14 police barracks to talk to me. I said, well, sure if you
15	talked to him. He denied the relationship with Ellen	have a complaint you would like to make or or talk to
16	Ruth Costlow. You looked at his cell phone. Can't	me, that's fine. So she came in.
17	remember exactly what you found, but you're confident	Q. Have you told me everything you can recall
1.8	that you certainly didn't find anything that prompted you	about your first telephone discussion with her?
19	to act further on that particular inquiry,	19 A. No, sir. That's about the the vague
20	Have I summed all that up correctly?	20 the vague memory I have of it.
21	A. Correct. Yes, sir.	Q. Did you make notes of your conversation with
22	Q. Okay.	Tom Ballock, Senior, your discussion with Trooper Berry,
23	Did you do anything else at that point to	and your phone call with Ellen Ruth Costlow?
24	investigate Tom Ballock, Senior's complaint about Trooper	A. I believe I jotted a few things down talking to
		5

	4709					
1	Page 50		Page 52			
1	aware of that?	1	A. No, sir, he did not.			
2	A. I I'm sorry. I'm misconstruing your	2	Q. Is that the end of your contact with Tom,			
3	question. She did not initiate	3	Senior?			
4	Q. I may have confused you without intending to.	4	A. Yes, sir. I believe so.			
5	You worked in the Morgantown Detachment	5	Q. When was that?			
6	A. Yes, sir.	6	A. That was I do not know that, sir, not			
7	Q of the state police between 2012 and 2015?	7	without looking at it was the first time that I knew			
8	A. Yes, sir.	8	of Mr. Ballock and knew of the situation.			
9	Q. You were a sergeant?	9	Q. Okay.			
10	A. Yes, sir.	10	So Ellen Ruth Costlow			
1.1	Q. And my question has to do with some of the	11	A. Yes, sir.			
12	merits that we're litigating in this civil action.	12				
13	A. Sure. Yes, sir.	13	Q comes in the picture next.			
14	Q. Okay.	14	A. Trooper Berry did first, yes.			
15	I'm asking you do you remember, generally, that	15	Q. All right.			
16	Ellen Ruth Costlow initiated at least one criminal	16	Trooper Berry. Go ahead. Tell me what you remember.			
17	complaint against my client, Scott Ballock, during that	17				
18	time.	18	A. I called Trooper Berry, and told him about the			
19	A. That was not her intent when she came into the	19	allegation. He denied it. I asked him to come in and			
20	state police detachment.	20	speak to me. He did. He he came in. He did I			
21	Q. Okay.	21	looked at his cell phone. He let me see his cell phone,			
22	What was her intent, as far as you were able to	22	and there wasn't anything on there that that gave me			
23	discern?	23	concern or no no proving or disproving of a of a			
24	A. A complaint against Trooper Berry.	1	relationship.			
	against Prooper Berry.	24	Q. Were there any communications on Trooper			
	Page 51		Page 53			
Ţ	Q. Against Trooper Berry?	1	Berry's cell phone —?			
2	A. Yes, sir.	2	A. I don't remember that. I'm sorry, Go ahead.			
3	Q. All right.	3	Q. You're cooperating with me, and I appreciate			
4	Tell me about that. When was it, first of all?	4	it, but we're we're kind of getting comfortable with			
5	A. It was my first contact with Tom Ballock,	5	each other so you're kind of anticipating my question and			
6	Senior. He had called in to the state police barracks to	6	overrunning it a little bit. That's not disrespectful.			
7	let us know, or let me know he asked for a supervisor	7	It's just how it works with humans. So let me, without			
8	to let me know that Chris Berry was having an affair	8	being rude to you, suggest that you need to let me finish			
9	with his daughter-in-law or soon to be daughter-in-law.	9	the question.			
10	That conversation was short. There was a	10	A. Absolutely.			
lL	couple of questions that I had that he answered.	11	Q. Okay.			
12	Q. What were those?	12	That way the transcript will will read a			
13	A. I asked him what his relationship was to Ms.	1.3	lot better.			
14	Costlow. He said he was the father-in-law. I asked him	14	A. Got you.			
1.5	why he was making the complaint instead of her husband.	1.5	Q. You examined Christopher Berry's cell phone as			
16	I don't remember how he responded to that, if he did. 1	15	part of your inquiry into, this informal complaint we'll			
17	asked him if he wanted to make a formal complaint, and he	1.7	call it,			
18	declined to do so.	1.8	A. Right.			
1.9	Q. So you offered to facilitate the filing of a	19	Q that Tom Ballock, Senior had phoned in to			
20	formal complaint?	20	you?			
21	A. Yes.	2.1	A. Correct,			
22	Q. And your recollection is that Tom, Senior?	22	Q. All right.			
23	A. He said he didn't want to do that.	23	In those days, there in Morgantown Detachment,			
21	Q. Did he explain why?	24	were troopers using an official West Virginia State			
			and and an other west the suit of state			

Page 42	Page 4
investigation?	1 it?
A. Yes, sir.	2 A. Impartiality to?
Q. If a state police officer, trooper, had a	Q. His lack of impartiality when he received that.
romantic relationship and by that, I mean a	If a trooper is having a sexual relationship with a
5 relationship that included sexual relations with a	5 member of the public, that by itself is not a problem?
member of the public, would it be considered unethical	6 A. No, sir. Unless it's on duty.
for that trooper to investigate a complaint filed by that	Q. Unless it's during
8 person?	8 A. Right.
9 A. I don't understand your question.	9 Q work hours.
Q. Sure.	10 Okay.
A. Is it unethical for a trooper to have a sexual	1 I understand that. I don't know if my
relation with another person or?	information is that precise.
Q. I presume it's not unethical for troopers to	The point that I'm touching on currently here
have sexual relationships. My question has to do with	has to do with if a trooper in this case we're
the propriety of a state trooper having a sexual	talking about Christopher Berry
6 relationship with a member of the public, and then acting	16 A. Yes, sir.
in an official capacity to investigate a complaint made	Q having a sexual relationship with a member
by the person with whom they have a sexual relationship.	C STATE OF THE STA
9 Would that be considered appropriate conduct on the part	of the public. And we're talking about Ellen Ruth Costlow?
of the state trooper?	20 A. Yes, sir.
A. I I guess it would be it would be	1 ,
determined by what that investigation was. There's all	2. The site waited to make a criminal complaint
different forms of investigations. I don't know. It's	against her then estranged husband, Scott Ballock, 23 A. Yes, sir.
4 kind of a broad question.	24 Q my client,
Page 43	Page 45
Q. Do you know if Christopher Berry, Trooper	1 A. Yes, sir.
Christopher Berry, ever had a sexual relationship with	2 Q it would be inappropriate for Christopher
3 Ellen Ruth Costlow?	Berry to either participate directly or to try and
A. I do not know that. No, sir.	4 influence his colleagues at the state police in the
Q. If Christopher Berry had a personal	5 investigation of the complaint that Ellen Ruth Costlow
relationship with Ellen Ruth Costlow, and she wanted to	6 might have made against my client?
file a complaint against her estranged husband at the	7 A. Yes, sir.
time, my client,	8 Q. One of the reasons
A. Right.	9 A. I'm not avoiding your question. I'm just
Q Scott Ballock,	trying to make sure we we're clear.
A. Yes, sir.	Q. I appreciate that.
Q would it have been appropriate for Chris	12 A. Okay.
Berry to participate in any way in the investigation of	Q. I appreciate your careful attention to my
that complaint?	e - approvide four careful attention to my
A. If a trooper was having a sexual relationship	4-150 State of the search of t
with a person, and for him to be personally involved with	a lot of stuff in it, and I can tell you're paying close attention.
making a complaint against her ex-husband or or a	17 A. Okay.
family member would be improper.	
Q. Why?	C App. sounte in
A. He wouldn't be a non-biased person.	y 1.2.
Q. Why would that be a problem?	and the state of their your table to
A. Because he would have emotional connection with	be a second to the first the first to the fi
the person he's making a complaint about.	2 White to make suit we understand one
Q. That would explain his impartiality, wouldn't	23 another. 24 A. Yes. sir.

		D 100
Page 106 Gaskins of the Morgantown Detachment.	1	Page 108
	1	All right. I appreciate your answering me.
_ ·	1	Does that mean he was in charge of it? A. Yes, sir.
•		Q. Okay.
	II.	The reason I'm asking you is Captain Merrill
		wanted to be kept advised. He wanted to know what was
·	1	happing with all of this.
	1	Right?
	1	A. Yes, sir.
		Q. And presumably, based on what you told me up to
	II.	this point, Captain Merrill wanted to be kept in the loop
	1	because the person under investigation here was a Federal
	1	Bureau of Investigation agent?
		A. Correct.
-	1	Q. Do you know if Corporal Gaskins, in fact, kept
	1	Captain Merrill advised by email? Were you copied on his
		emails?
	1	A. I don't remember. I don't know that, sir. I
	1	don't remember being copied on emails.
	1	Q. Okay,
	1	Captain Merrill in Exhibit number, what is it,
• •	1	4?
	1	A. Yes, sir.
Q. Frior to the time that my client was arrested	24	Q. Did he copy you?
Page 107		Page 109
on September 13th, 2013, did you contact the FBI?	1	A. On?
A. I I do not know, sir. I cannot remember	2.	Q. Did he copy you on his email to or his memo
who contacted the FBI.	3	to Corporal Gaskins?
Q. All right.	4	A. I don't remember that, sir.
I take it by your answer that you're satisfied	5	Q. Does it say?
that there was somebody at the state police who contacted	6	A. No, sir.
the FBI about Scott Ballock prior to his arrest on	7	Q. Well, I only have the one copy, and I'm not
September 13, 2013?	8	looking at it so —.
A. Correct.	9	A. No, sir. It does not.
	10	Q. No matter how many times I've been down through
A. Twofold, to let the agency that he was hired	11	this stack, and I've tried to memorize everything, but I
under know that there was a criminal investigation being	12	guess I'm getting too old to be able to do that.
conducted, and two, professional courtesy.	13	So you have a memory as to whether you were
Q. Did you direct someone to make that contact?	14	kept advised of the investigation into Ellen Costlow's
A. I do not remember that, sir.	15	complaint against Scott Ballock prior to Scott's arrest
Q. Would corporal Gaskins have had the authority	16	on September 13, 2013.
to contact the FBI for the two purposes that you just	17	A. I didn't say that, sir.
identified?	18	Q. I'm asking.
A. Yes, sir.	19	A. Yes, sir. But you made a statement, and I'm
Q. Okay.	20	that's not correct.
Who was in charge of the investigation,	21	Q. Sounded like a statement, but it was intended
Corporal Gaskins?	22	to be a question. Let me put it a little more clearly
A. He was the investigating officer, sir.	23	for you. Were you kept advised of the investigation into
and the same of th		
	Q. Okay. And who's it from? A. Captain Merrill. Q. Okay. And what was Captain Merrill instructing Corporal — then Corporal Gaskins? A. To open up an investigation. Q. So the fair implication here is that Exhibit 4 occurred because it was instigated by Exhibit 3? In other words, you asked Captain Merrill for authority and he granted it. And he personally directed Corporal Gaskins to open the investigation? A. Correct. Q. All right. And the reason this echelon of authority at the state police was directly involved here is because there was an FBI agent at issue. True? A. Yes, sir. Q. Did you make any contact with the FBI in the course of your investigating? A. During the course of the whole —? Q. Prior to the time that my client was arrested Page 107 on September 13th, 2013, did you contact the FBI? A. I — I do not know, sir. I cannot remember who contacted the FBI. Q. All right. I take it by your answer that you're satisfied that there was somebody at the state police who contacted the FBI about Scott Ballock prior to his arrest on September 13, 2013? A. Correct. Q. What was the point of that contact? A. Twofold, to let the agency that he was hired under know that there was a criminal investigation being conducted, and two, professional courtesy. Q. Did you direct someone to make that contact? A. I do not remember that, sir. Q. Would corporal Gaskins have had the authority to contact the FBI for the two purposes that you just identified? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. Who was in charge of the investigation, Corporal Gaskins?	Q. Okay. And who's it from? A. Captain Merrill. Q. Okay. And what was Captain Merrill instructing Corporal — then Corporal Gaskins? A. To open up an investigation. Q. So the fair implication here is that Exhibit 4 occurred because it was instigated by Exhibit 3? In other words, you asked Captain Merrill for authority and he granted it. And he personally directed Corporal Gaskins to open the investigation? A. Correct. Q. All right. And the reason this echelon of authority at the state police was directly involved here is because there was an FBI agent at issue. True? A. Yes, sir. Q. Did you make any contact with the FBI in the course of your investigating? A. During the course of the whole ——? Q. Prior to the time that my client was arrested Page 107 on September 13th, 2013, did you contact the FBI? A. I —— I do not know, sir. I cannot remember who contacted the FBI. Q. All right. I take it by your answer that you're satisfied that there was somebody at the state police who contacted the FBI about Scott Ballock prior to his arrest on September 13, 2013? A. Correct. Q. What was the point of that contact? A. Twofold, to let the agency that he was hired under know that there was a criminal investigation being conducted, and lwo, professional courtesy. Q. Did you direct someone to make that contact? A. I do not remember that, sir. Q. Would corporal Gaskins have had the authority to contact the FBI for the two purposes that you just identified? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. Who was in charge of the investigation, Corporal Gaskins?